

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/885,302	06/20/2001	Mingzhong Ding	S01.12-0709/STL 9808	2673		
27365	27365 7590 06/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SEAGATE	TECHNOLOGY LLC	SNIEZEK, A	SNIEZEK, ANDREW L			
	& KELLY, P.A INTERNATIONAL CF	ENTRE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
900 SECONI	O AVENUE SOUTH		2651			
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-3319					

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/885,302		DING ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrew L. Sniezek	2651		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew L. Sniezek	2651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	 !ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicher</li> </ul>							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	<b>)</b> .						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must band MENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belonger.	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re		ine issues for				
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	l (PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 6-19.		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu As previously pointed out, the transducer width directly certain track density, however if the transducer used is density is not achieved. What is desired and what can	determines the track density. It m not capable of forming tracks with be produced is not always the sam	ight be desired to have such density, then the ne	e a disk with a				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13.  Other:		and I have	1				

Andrew L. Sniezek
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2651